



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,965	07/24/2003	Paul DiCesare	896P011379-US (PAR)	1641

7590 09/14/2007  
Geza C. Ziegler, Esq.  
Perman & Green, LLP  
425 Post Road  
Fairfield, CT 06824-6232

EXAMINER
----------

PAPAPIETRO, JACQUELINE M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3739

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

09/14/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/625,965	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DICESARE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jacqueline Papapietro	<b>Art Unit</b> 3739	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jacqueline Papapietro. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) William Knotts. (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 06 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Blake and Modin.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Examiner discussed the outstanding rejection set forth in the Non-Final Office Action mailed 7/16/2007. Distinctions of the instant invention versus the prior art were discussed. Examiner believes the Modin device includes two levers. Applicant disagrees and believes only one lever is disclosed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**LINDA G. M. DVORAK**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**GROUP 3700**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required